(d) the justification of continuing such litigation ?

' THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT) WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF HEAVY INDUSTRY) (SHRIMATI KRISHNA SAHI) : (a) Instrumentation Limited, Kota has incurred a total expenditure of Rs. 4,35,115.45 from 1986-87 till 31-3-1993 on the litigation with Bokaro Progressive Front, the Break-up of which is as follows :—

Legal expenditure including lawyers fees : Rs. 3,21,509.50

TA/DA : Rs. 1,13.605.95

(b) No, Sir.

(c) Does not arise.

(d) The company has been making huge losses on account of surplus manpower etc. It has been protecting its interests in the court of law against the writ petition filed by the workers.

Performance of industrial sector

3207. SHRI SURESH PACHOURI: SHRI IQBAL SINGH :

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether a survey conducted by the PHD chamber of commerce and industry reveals that majority of the respondents felt that the industrial sector as a whole would perform better in 93-94 as compared to 1992-93 ;

(b) if so, whether according to the survey 68 per cent expected a higher growth ;

(c) if so, what are the other objectives mentioned in the report; and

(d) to what extent the industry has improved its performance during the current year ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT) WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF HEAVY INDUSTRY) (SHRIMATI KRISHNA SAHI) : (a) and (b) Yes, Sir.

(c) Some of the other findings of the report are :

(1) Inflation is likely to be between 8 to 10%.

(2) An increase in both foreign and domestic investment is expected.

(3) Competition in domestic sector will increase.

(4) A worrying aspect of the survey has been the response on demand. Majority of the respodents did not show much optimism that' indirect tax concessions would stimulate demand of their products.

(d) As per the revised Index of Industrial Production, the industrial sector has shown a growth of 1.5% during 1992-93 compared *to* a nil rate of growth during 1991-92.

New growth centre scheme

3208. DR. SHRIKANT RAMCHANDRA JICHKAR: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) the number of Project Reports pending for appraisal and approval under the New Growth Centre Schemes as on 1st August, 1993 ;

(b) the names of the States with their number of projects pending ;

(c) the names of the States and the amount released to them during the last three years; and

(d) the reasons for the inordinate delay in approving the *schemes* ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT) WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF - INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF HEAVY INDUSTRY) (SHRIMATI KRISHNA SAHI) : (a) and (b) As on 1-8-1993, out of 55 project reports received from the State Governments, 37 have been appraised and approved, and the remaining 18 reports are under appraisal as indicated in Statement-I *(See* below)

(c) Amount released under the Growth Centre Scheme during the last three years is indicated in Statement-II *(See* below).

(d) In many cases, State Governments take considerable time in giving complete information on project reports.

Statement I

Name of the State	Number of project reports under appraisal
1. Bihar	4
2. Himachal Pradesh	1
3. Kerala	2
4. Maharashtra	1
5. Nagaland	1
6. Orissa	2
7. Rajasthan	1
8. Tamil Nadu	1
9. Tripura	1
10. Uttar pradesh	2
11. West Bengal	2

Statement-II

Funds Released by Central Government for Growth Centres from April 1990 to 31-3-93

Sl. No.	Name of the Growth Centre	Amount released (Rs. in lakhs)
1	2	3
	ANDHRA PRADESH	
1.	Hindpur	200.00
2.	Khammam	50.00
3.	Ongole	200.00
4.	Vizianagaram-Bobbili	200.00
	GOA	
5.	Electronic City (Verna Plateau)	50.00
	GUJARAT	
6.	Gandhidham	50.00
7.	Palanpur	50.00
8.	Vagra	200.00
	HARYANA	
9.	Bawal	200.00
	JAMMU & KASHMIR	
10.	Samba	200.00
	KARNATAKA	
11.	Dharwad	200.00
12.	Raichur	200.00
13.	Hassan	200.00
	KERALA	
14.	Alleppey-Pathanamthitta	50.00
15.	Kannur-Kozhikode-Mallapuram	50.00
	MADHYA PRADESH	
16.	Borai	300.00
17.	Chainpura	100.00
18.	Ghirongi	500.00
19.	Kheda	300.00
20.	Satlapur	50.00
21.	Siltara	200.00
	MAHARASHTRA	
22.	Akola	200.00
23.	Chandrapur	200.00
24.	Dhule	200.00
25.	Ratnagiri	200.00
	NAGALAND	
26.	Dimapur	50.00
	ORISSA	
27.	Chatrapur	50.00
28.	Chiplima	50.00
29.	Duburi	50.00
	PUNJAB	
30.	Bhatinda	600.00
31.	Pathankot	274.00
	RAJASTHAN	
32.	Abu Road	200.00
33.	Bhilwara	50.00
34.	Bikaner	200.00
35.	Jhalawar	50.00
36.	Dholpur	50.00
	TAMIL NADU	
37.	Erode	50.00
38.	Tirunelveli	200.00
	TRIPURA	
39.	Champamura-Joginder Nagar/Moley Nagar	50.00
	UTTAR PRADESH	
40.	Bachauli-Buzurg	50.00
41.	Banthara	50.00
42.	Chaudharpur	50.00
43.	Mungra-Satharia	50.00
44.	Sahjanwa	50.00
45.	Shivrajpur-Padampur	50.00
46.	Khurja	50.00
	TOTAL :	6624.00

Fiscal concession to fuel efficient vehicles

3209. SHRI G. PRATHAPA REDDY:

DR. SHRIKANT RAMCHANDRA JICHKAR :

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) what are the fiscal concessions being given to fuel efficient vehicles in the Automotive industry;

(b) whether the fuel efficiency of automotive vehicles have in fact increased due to these concessions;

(c) if so, the details thereof;

(d) what are the futuristic norms of fuel efficiency proposed to be prescribed; and